

Mathematical Tripos Part II: Michaelmas Term 2024

Numerical Analysis – Lecture 8

Problem 2.18 (The advection equation) We look at the *advection equation* which we already considered in Lecture 6.

$$u_t = u_x, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where $u = u(x, t)$. It is given with the initial condition $u(x, 0) = \varphi(x)$. The exact solution of (2.6) is simply $u(x, t) = \varphi(x + t)$, a unilateral shift leftwards. This, however, does not mean that its numerical modelling is easy.

Example 2.19 (Downwind instability) 1) *Downwind instability*: Consider the discretization $\frac{\partial u_m(t)}{\partial x} \approx \frac{1}{2h} [u_m(t) - u_{m-1}(t)]$, so coming to the ODE $u'_m(t) = \frac{1}{2h} [u_m(t) - u_{m-1}(t)]$. For the Euler method, the outcome is

$$u_m^{n+1} = u_m^n + \mu(u_m^n - u_{m-1}^n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We can analyze the stability of this method using Fourier analysis. The amplification factor is

$$H(\theta) = 1 + \mu - \mu e^{-i\theta}.$$

We see that for $\theta = \pi/2$, $|H(\theta)|^2 = (1 + \mu)^2 + \mu^2 > 1$, and so the method is unstable for all $\mu > 0$.

Method 2.20 (Upwind method) *Upwind scheme*: If we semidiscretize $\frac{\partial u_m(t)}{\partial x} \approx \frac{1}{h} [u_{m+1}(t) - u_m(t)]$, and solve the ODE again by Euler's method, then the result is

$$u_m^{n+1} = u_m^n + \mu(u_{m+1}^n - u_m^n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad (2.7)$$

The local error is $\mathcal{O}(k^2 + kh)$ which is $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ for a fixed μ , hence convergence if the method is stable. We can again use Fourier analysis to analyze stability. The amplification factor is

$$H(\theta) = 1 - \mu + \mu e^{i\theta}$$

and we see that $|H(\theta)| = |1 - \mu + \mu e^{i\theta}| \leq |1 - \mu| + \mu = 1$ for $\mu \in [0, 1]$. Hence we have stability for $\mu \leq 1$. If $\mu > 1$, then note that $|H(\pi)| = |1 - 2\mu| > 1$, and so we have instability for $\mu > 1$.

Matlab demo: Download the Matlab GUI for *Solving the Advection Equation, Upwinding and Stability* from <https://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/hf323/M21-II-NA/demos/index.html> and solve the advection equation (2.6) with the different methods provided in the demonstration. Experience what can go wrong when "winding" in the wrong direction!

What about the case when $0 \leq x \leq 1$ (bounded domain)? Recall from Lecture 6 when we considered the Euler method for the advection equation

$$u_m^{n+1} - u_m^n = \mu(u_{m+1}^n - u_m^n), \quad m = 1 \dots M.$$

We have $\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = A\mathbf{u}^n$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \mu & \mu & & & \\ & 1 - \mu & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \mu & \\ & & & 1 - \mu & \\ & & & & 1 - \mu \end{bmatrix},$$

but A is *not* normal, and although its eigenvalues are bounded by 1 for $\mu \leq 2$ (note $1 - \mu$ is the only eigenvalue of A), it is the matrix induced norm of A that matters. For this example, it is easier to work with $\|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow \infty}$ which we see is given by $|1 - \mu| + \mu$ (by the formula in Lecture 5), and this is smaller than 1 precisely when $\mu \leq 1$.

Method 2.21 (The leapfrog method) *Leap-frog method*: We semidiscretize (2.6) as $\frac{\partial u_m(t)}{\partial x} \approx \frac{1}{2h} [u_{m+1}(t) - u_{m-1}(t)]$, but now solve the ODE with the second-order *midpoint rule*

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{y}_{n-1} + 2k\mathbf{f}(t_n, \mathbf{y}_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The outcome is the two-step *leapfrog* method

$$u_m^{n+1} = \mu (u_{m+1}^n - u_{m-1}^n) + u_m^{n-1}. \quad (2.8)$$

The local error is now $\mathcal{O}(k^3 + kh^2) = \mathcal{O}(h^3)$.

We analyse stability by the Fourier technique, assuming that we are solving a Cauchy problem. Thus, proceeding as before,

$$\widehat{u}^{n+1}(\theta) = \mu (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}) \widehat{u}^n(\theta) + \widehat{u}^{n-1}(\theta) \quad (2.9)$$

whence

$$\widehat{u}^{n+1}(\theta) - 2i\mu \sin \theta \widehat{u}^n(\theta) - \widehat{u}^{n-1}(\theta) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

and our goal is to determine values of μ such that $|\widehat{u}^n(\theta)|$ is uniformly bounded for all n, θ .

This is a difference equation $w_{n+1} + bw_n + cw_{n-1} = 0$ with the general solution $w_n = c_1\lambda_1^n + c_2\lambda_2^n$, where λ_1, λ_2 are the roots of the characteristic equation $\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0$, and c_1, c_2 are constants, dependent on the initial values w_0 and w_1 . If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then solution is $w_n = (c_1 + c_2n)\lambda^n$. In our case, we obtain

$$\lambda_{1,2}(\theta) = i\mu \sin \theta \pm \sqrt{1 - \mu^2 \sin^2 \theta}.$$

Stability is equivalent to $|\lambda_{1,2}(\theta)| \leq 1$ for all θ and this is true if and only if $\mu \leq 1$.

Problem 2.22 (The wave equation) Consider the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \quad t \geq 0,$$

given with initial conditions $u(x, 0)$ and $u_t(x, 0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0)$. The usual approximation looks as follows

$$u_m^{n+1} - 2u_m^n + u_m^{n-1} = \mu(u_{m+1}^n - 2u_m^n + u_{m-1}^n),$$

with the Courant number being now $\mu = k^2/h^2$.

The Fourier analysis (for Cauchy problem) provides

$$\widehat{u}^{n+1}(\theta) - 2\widehat{u}^n(\theta) + \widehat{u}^{n-1}(\theta) = -4\mu \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \widehat{u}^n(\theta),$$

with the characteristic equation $\lambda^2 - 2(1 - 2\mu \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})\lambda + 1 = 0$. The product of the roots is one, therefore stability (that requires the moduli of both λ to be at most one) is equivalent to the roots being complex conjugate, so we require

$$(1 - 2\mu \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})^2 \leq 1.$$

This condition is achieved if and only if $\mu = k^2/h^2 \leq 1$.