



- 1) We can choose  $\Omega^{[i,j]}$  so that any prescribed element  $\tilde{a}_{jk}$  in the  $j$ -th row of  $\tilde{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} \times A$  is zero.
- 2) The rows of  $\tilde{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} \times A$  are the same as the rows of  $A$ , except that the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th rows of the product are linear combinations of the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th rows of  $A$ .
- 3) The columns of  $\hat{A} = \tilde{A} \times \Omega^{[i,j]T}$  are the same as the columns of  $\tilde{A}$ , except that the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th columns of  $\hat{A}$  are linear combinations of the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th columns of  $\tilde{A}$ .
- 4)  $\Omega^{[i,j]}$  is an orthogonal matrix, thus  $\hat{A} = \Omega^{[i,j]} A \Omega^{[i,j]T}$  inherits the eigenvalues of  $A$ .
- 5) If  $A$  is symmetric, then so is  $\hat{A}$ .

**Method 5.12 (Transformation to an upper Hessenberg form)** We replace  $A$  by  $\hat{A} = SAS^{-1}$ , where  $S$  is a product of Givens rotations  $\Omega^{[i,j]}$  chosen to annihilate subsubdiagonal elements  $a_{j,i-1}$  in the  $(i-1)$ -st column:

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[2,3]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,3]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[2,4]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{[3,4]} \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[3,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

The  $\bullet$ -elements have changed through a single transformation while the  $*$ -elements remained the same.

It is seen that every element that we have set to zero remains zero, and the final outcome is indeed an upper Hessenberg matrix. If  $A$  is symmetric then so will be the outcome of the calculation, hence it will be tridiagonal. In general, the cost of this procedure is  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ .

Alternatively, we can transform  $A$  to upper Hessenberg using *Householder reflections*, rather than Givens rotations. In that case we deal with a column at a time, taking  $\mathbf{u}$  such that, with  $H_u = I - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ , the  $i$ -th column of  $\tilde{B} = H_u B$  is consistent with the upper Hessenberg form. Such a  $\mathbf{u}$  has its first  $i$  coordinates vanishing, therefore  $\hat{B} = \tilde{B} H_u^T$  has the first  $i$  columns unchanged, and all new and old zeros (which are in the first  $i$  columns) stay untouched.

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_1 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_1^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_2 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_2^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_3 \times} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times H_3^T} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

**Algorithm 5.13 (The QR algorithm)** The “plain vanilla” version of the QR algorithm is as follows. Set  $A_0 = A$ . For  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  calculate the QR factorization  $A_k = Q_k R_k$  (here  $Q_k$  is  $n \times n$  orthogonal and  $R_k$  is  $n \times n$  upper triangular) and set  $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k$ .

The eigenvalues of  $A_{k+1}$  are the same as the eigenvalues of  $A_k$ , since we have

$$A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = Q_k^{-1} (Q_k R_k) Q_k = Q_k^{-1} A_k Q_k, \quad (5.2)$$

a similarity transformation. Moreover,  $Q_k^{-1} = Q_k^T$ , therefore if  $A_k$  is symmetric, then so is  $A_{k+1}$ .

If for some  $k \geq 0$  the matrix  $A_{k+1}$  can be regarded as “deflated”, i.e. it has the block form

$$A_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $B, E$  are square and  $D \approx O$ , then we calculate the eigenvalues of  $B$  and  $E$  separately (again, with QR, except that there is nothing to calculate for  $1 \times 1$  and  $2 \times 2$  blocks). As it turns out, such a “deflation” occurs surprisingly often.

**Technique 5.14 (The QR iteration for upper Hessenberg matrices)** If  $A_k$  is upper Hessenberg, then its QR factorization by means of the Givens rotations produces the matrix

$$R_k = Q_k^T A_k = \Omega^{[n-1,n]} \dots \Omega^{[2,3]} \Omega^{[1,2]} A_k,$$

which is upper triangular. The QR iteration sets  $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = R_k \Omega^{[1,2]T} \Omega^{[2,3]T} \dots \Omega^{[n-1,n]T}$ , and it follows that  $A_{k+1}$  is also upper Hessenberg, because

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[1,2]T}} \begin{bmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[2,3]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & * & * \\ \bullet & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & \bullet & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\times \Omega^{[3,4]T}} \begin{bmatrix} * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ * & \bullet & \bullet & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus a strong advantage of bringing  $A$  to the upper Hessenberg form initially is that then, in every iteration in QR algorithm,  $Q_k$  is a product of just  $n-1$  Givens rotations. Hence each iteration of the QR algorithm requires just  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  operations.